

To: Joint Steering Committee for Revision of AACR
From: Nathalie Schulz, JSC Secretary
Subject: AACR3 - Part I - Constituency Review of December 2004 Draft - Discussion Guide Table

At the JSC meeting in Chicago in April 2005 it was agreed that the best way to deal with the detailed comments in the responses to the December 2004 draft of part I was to quickly identify those comments on which there was agreement, so that they could be incorporated by the Editor into the draft of part I. For constituency comments on which there is not agreement, there will be discussion at the October 2005 meeting.

The first step in this process was for the JSC representatives to edit a copy of the discussion guide for part I (5JSC/AACR3/I/Discussion guide), to indicate those comments on which voting was not required, and to insert additional comments. After the editing of the discussion guide a table was produced (5JSC/AACR3/I/Discussion guide/Sec follow-up).

The JSC representatives were asked to briefly indicate in the table whether they agreed or disagreed with the comments made by the other constituencies. The attached table includes these responses, as well as edits to correct errors, and add in numbers for documents that were not available when the table was first created.

Lines for which there is a agreement have been shaded in grey. For the purposes of this exercise, there was considered to be agreement on a line if:

- all cells contained “agree” (unless there was a major qualification to the agreement)
- all cells contained a mix of “agree” and “no opinion”
- all cells contained a mix of “agree” and “covered by new structure” (or similar)
- all cells contained a mix of “agree” and “being discussed” or reference to the GMD/SMD Working Group or Examples Working Group.

Background information on the table:

The following sections of the discussion guide were not included in the table:

- Section 2 (Organization of the rules) – a new structure for part I was decided at the April 2005 meeting.
- Sections 3 (Focus of the description) and 9 (Sources of information) – covered by 5JSC/AACR3/I/Editor follow-up/1 and responses.
- Section 10 (General material designation) – comments will be passed to the GMD/SMD Working Group.
- Section 16 (Typographical and grammatical errors, etc.). However, substantive comments from section 16 of 5JSC/AACR3/I/ALA response and the Editorial table in 5JSC/AACR3/I/LC response have been included.
- Section 21 (Comments on Examples) – comments will be passed to the Examples Group.

Notes:

- The order of the comments differs to that in the discussion guide in that comments are arranged by rule numbers in chapter A1, with comments on special and supplementary rules placed immediately after the corresponding A1 rule number.
- A page number reference in a constituency column means that constituency is the originator of the comment. Page numbers are for the constituency responses to the draft of part I.
- The comments in the table are in the majority of cases the same as in the discussion guide (i.e. in a very brief form).
- In some cases the constituency column contains reference to a future or existing rule revision proposal. In these cases the other constituency columns have been filled by dashes to indicate that agreement or disagreement will be indicated in the response to the proposal.
- If a comment was included in a constituency response and/or the discussion guide multiple times, it has only been included in the table once.
- In the discussion guide, similar comments by constituencies were grouped, in the table these have been listed separately if they present alternatives.