

To: Joint Steering Committee for Development of RDA

From: Marg Stewart, CCC representative

**Subject: Revision of RDA 2.5.2: Designation of Edition and Revision of RDA 2.5.6:
Designation of a Named Revision of an Edition**

CCC does not support the rewording proposed at 2.5.6.1 which replaces “a particular revision of a named edition” by “a named revision of the edition to which a resource belongs”. CCC believes that referring to a “named edition” in the definitions of the element is critical because a named revision of an edition cannot exist when the edition that is revised is not named as well as in the resource.

CCC also disagrees with moving the four examples from 2.5.2.3 and 2.5.2.4 to 2.5.6.3 and 2.5.6.4. CCC believes that these examples are correctly placed at 2.5.2.3 and 2.5.2.4 because they are not named revisions of an edition. “New ed., rev. and enl.” is not a revised and enlarged reissue of an edition called “New ed.”. Rather, it is a new edition that happens to be revised and enlarged. The same comment applies to “Troisième édition revue et augmentée”. To be a named revision of an edition, there would have to have been a “Troisième édition” which was not “revue et augmentée”, which is not what these statements usually mean. While “Corr. 2nd print” is a revision of an edition, this edition is not named in the resource, as the definition of the element requires. It is correctly treated as a designation of an edition.

CCC supports the proposed revision at 2.5.2.5 to change “Terms” to “Statements”.