The Library of Congress, with the agreement of its Law Library, endorses the simplification of RDA 6.29.1.21 proposed in 6JSC/ALA/1.

We do have comments about the wording of the first paragraph and about two of the examples.

**Wording:**

To avoid confusion regarding the identification of whose name (i.e., a reporter’s name, not the name of the court), LC recommends the revision noted below for the first paragraph of 6.29.1.21.

For law reports of one court, construct the authorized access point representing the work as instructed under 6.29.1.2.1. (reports ascribed to a reporter by name) or 6.29.1.21.2 (reports not ascribed to a reporter by name) as applicable.

**Examples:**

Because the instructions have been simplified, the publication statements in two examples and the explanation in the first of those two examples are no longer necessary. LC recommends revising these two examples as shown below.

**Manning, James, 1781-1866. Common bench reports**

*Authorized access point for:* Common bench reports: cases argued and determined in the Court of Common Pleas / [reported] by James Manning, T.C. Granger, and John Scott. — London : Benning, 1846–1857. *Cited as Manning, Granger & Scott*

*Arizona. Court of Appeals. Report of cases argued and determined in the Court of Appeals of the State of Arizona***

*Authorized access point for:* Report of cases argued and determined in the Court of Appeals of the State of Arizona … . — St. Paul : West Publishing Company