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Revisions: marked version

6.29.1.21 Reports of One Court

For law reports of one court, construct the authorized access point representing the work as instructed under 6.29.1.21.1 (reports not ascribed to a reporter by name) or 6.29.1.21.2 (reports not ascribed to a reporter by name) as applicable.

6.29.1.21.1 Reports Not Ascribed to a Reporter or Reporters by Name

If the reports are issued by or under the authority of the court, and are not ascribed to a reporter or reporters by name, construct the authorized access point by combining (in this order):

a) the authorized access point representing the court, formulated according to the instructions given under 11.13.1

b) the preferred title for the reports, formulated according to the instructions given under 6.19.2.

EXAMPLE

Canada. Federal Court. Canada Federal Court reports  
Authorized access point for: Canada Federal Court reports / editor, Florence Rosenfeld. Issued by the court

If the reports are not issued by or under the authority of the court, use the title as the authorized access point.

EXAMPLE

Reports of cases argued and determined in the Court of Appeals of Arizona  
Authorized access point for: Report of cases argued and determined in the Court of Appeals of Arizona .... St. Paul: West Publishing Company. Publisher acts in an editorial capacity
6.29.1.21.21 Reports Ascribed to a Reporter or Reporters by Name

If the reports are ascribed to a reporter or reporters by name, apply the accepted legal citation practice in the country where the court is located; construct the authorized access point by combining (in this order):

6.29.1.21.21.1 Citation by Name of Court

If the accepted legal citation practice in that country is to cite the reports using the name of the court, construct the authorized access point by combining (in this order):

a) the authorized access point representing the court, formulated according to the instructions given under 11.13.1

b) the preferred title for the reports, formulated according to the instructions given under 6.19.2.

6.29.1.21.21.2 Citation by Name of Reporter

If the accepted legal citation practice in that country is to cite the reports using the name of the reporter, construct the authorized access point by combining (in this order):

a) the authorized access point representing the reporter (or first named reporter), formulated according to the instructions given under 9.19.1

b) the preferred title for the reports, formulated according to the instructions given under 6.19.2.

6.29.1.21.21.3 Citation Practice Cannot be Determined

If that practice cannot be determined readily, and if the reports are issued by or under the authority of the court, construct the authorized access point by combining (in this order):

a) the authorized access point representing the court, formulated according to the instructions given under 11.13.1

b) the preferred title for the reports, formulated according to the instructions given under 6.19.2.

EXAMPLE

California. Supreme Court. Reports of cases determined in the Supreme Court of the state of California, October 23, 1969, to January 30, 1970

Authorized access point for: Reports of cases determined in the Supreme Court of the state of California, October 23, 1969, to January 30, 1970 / Robert E. Formichi, reporter of decisions. — San Francisco : Bancroft-Whitney. Cited as California reports
6.29.1.21.2.4 Citation of Reports Not Issued under Authority of a Court

If the reports are not issued by or under the authority of the court, construct the authorized access point by combining (in this order):

a) the authorized access point representing the reporter (or first-named reporter), formulated according to the instructions given under 9.19.1

b) the preferred title for the reports, formulated according to the instructions given under 6.19.2.

EXAMPLE

Manning, James, 1781–1866. Common bench reports

Authorized access point for: Common bench reports : cases argued and determined in the Court of Common Pleas / [reported] by James Manning, T.C. Granger, and John Scott. — London : Benning. Cited as Manning, Granger & Scott

6.29.1.21.2 Reports Not Ascribed to a Reporter or Reporters by Name

If the reports are not ascribed to a reporter or reporters by name, construct the authorized access point by combining (in this order):

a) the authorized access point representing the court, formulated according to the instructions given under 11.13.1

b) the preferred title for the reports, formulated according to the instructions given under 6.19.2.

EXAMPLE

Canada. Federal Court. Canada Federal Court reports

Authorized access point for: Canada Federal Court reports / editor, Florence Rosenfeld

Arizona. Court of Appeals. Reports of cases argued and determined in the Court of Appeals of the State of Arizona

Authorized access point for: Report of cases argued and determined in the Court of Appeals of the State of Arizona ...

Germany. Bundesverfassungsgericht. Entscheidungen des Bundesverfassungsgerichts

Authorized access point for: Entscheidungen des Bundesverfassungsgerichts

Nigeria. Supreme Court. Monthly judgments of the Supreme Court of Nigeria

Authorized access point for: Monthly judgments of the Supreme Court of Nigeria
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